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1. Introduction

The efficacy of Artemisinin Combination Therapies (ACTs) is influenced by both the artemisinin derivative and the partner drug. Their role is to cure patients but also to prevent the survival and spread of artemisinin resistant strains of Plasmodium. Three of the most common partner drugs currently prescribed for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria are lumefantrine (LUM), piperaquine (PIP), and amodiaquine (AQ). The dosage of partner drugs must be sufficient to ensure that blood concentrations exceed the minimum inhibitory concentration of the parasite until all parasites are been killed. Although target doses are usually given as a total mg/kg over three days, in practice manufacturers’ recommendations are often pragmatic and based upon weight “banding”. This approach inevitably results in some patients at the margins of having either lower or higher dosages.

Young children are particularly vulnerable to extreme total dosages especially when drug administration is based on tablets (or fractions thereof) rather paediatric formulations or suspensions. The problem is further confounded when dosing is recommended according to age bands rather than actual body weight. Under-dosing has been suggested to play a role in the development of resistance (Terlouw et. al., 2003). Preliminary modelling of dosing strategies according to known weight for age demographics in malaria patients, suggests a wide range of mg/kg dosing is used that may impact significantly on treatment efficacy and possibly safety.

Aim of the study

To determine the mg/kg dosing range of the ACT partner drugs Piperaquine, adopted in clinical trials and investigate the effects of mg/kg dosing on clinical outcome.

Eligibility criteria for inclusion in pooled analysis

A study will be deemed eligible for the purpose of this analysis if they meet the following criteria:

- Prospective clinical efficacy studies of *P. falciparum* (either alone or mixed infections).
- Treatment with either DHA-Piperaquine with a minimum of 28 days of follow up.
- Data is available on exact dosage of piperaquine received by patients. Preferred but not essential information includes drug manufacturer, whether all doses were supervised, and whether drugs were administered with fat.

The data sets uploaded to the WWARN repository will be standardized using the WWARN Data Management and Statistical Analysis Plans (DMSAP v1.1\(^1\)) for clinical data and pooled into a single database of quality-assured individual patient data. Data will remain the property of the individual

---

\(^1\) http://www.wwarn.org/partnerships/data/methodology/clinical
donor(s) and publication will be in accordance with an agreed publication plan (see publication policy document).

2. Outline of Statistical Analysis

Separate statistical analyses will be performed for the following three treatment regimens:

- DHA-Piperaquine

Analysis may be performed separately by drug manufacturers where possible.

Baseline characteristics of the studies included in the analysis

An overall summary (overall study profile) of all the studies uploaded to WWARN repository will be presented and studies in which individual mg/kg dosing is available will be ascertained.

Baseline characteristics of studies eligible for the purpose of this project will be presented including information on transmission intensity, age, sex, weight, baseline parasitaemia, species (% of mixed infections) gametocytes on presentation, past history of malaria, haemoglobin, level of supervision of drug intake (full or partial) and on co-administration with fat. Haematocrit will be converted to haemoglobin using the following relationship:

\[ \text{Hematocrit (ht)} = 5.62 + 2.60 \times \text{Haemoglobin (hb)} \]  

(Lee et al., 2008)

Assessment of heterogeneity and major differences between studies will be performed.

Study locations will be categorised into three strata according to known epidemiology: low, moderate and high transmission settings and also according to region (Africa, Asia, and S. America).

Transmission settings will be defined based on the triangulation of information given in the publication(s) (location, type of malaria transmission, use of bed nets, and month of study), observed reinfection rate and expert opinion through the discussion within the study group.

Transmission intensity will be classified as low, moderate or high.

Diversity in dosing strategies

Descriptive statistics for different methods of dosing strategies (age based, weight based) will be calculated. Summary statistics of dosing strategies used in children (e.g. % studies using quarter/half tablets, % dissolving tablets, or paediatric formulation) will be reported.

The mg/kg distribution of artemisinin and PIP will be presented using box plots or histograms together with their descriptive statistics.

Scatter plot of mg/kg dosing (Y axis) and age (X axis).

Scatter plot of mg/kg dosing (Y axis) and weight (X axis).

2 http://www.wwarn.org/sites/default/files/PublicationPolicy.pdf
Treatment adherence will be reported as a binary variable (1= Yes, 0=No) as well by degree (eg the number of drop outs and if possible missed doses). A patient will be classified as treatment adherent if all the full course treatment has been taken.

**Efficacy endpoints**

**Primary:**
- PCR adjusted risk of *P. falciparum* recrudescence

**Secondary:**
- PCR adjusted risk of *P. falciparum* reinfection
- PCR unadjusted risk of *P. falciparum* recurrence
- Risk of *P. vivax* recurrence
- Parasite clearance

**Tertiary:**
- Risk of gametocyte carriage during follow up
- Haemoglobin measurements over time

All the primary and secondary endpoints on interest will go through the same analysis as outlined below. Analysis of tertiary endpoint will be carried out provided enough data is present; else, only summary statistics will be reported.

For the cumulative risk of recurrence (*P. falciparum* adjusted, *P. falciparum* unadjusted, and *P. vivax*), survival and Cox regression analysis will be used (see 3.2 and 3.3 below). Definitions of status and censorship are detailed on page 14 of the Clinical Module DMSAP v1.1. In addition, the median time to presentation with recurrent infection will be calculated.

For the parasite clearance, the proportions of patients cleared at day 1, 2 and 3 will be assessed. Definitions are detailed on page 15 of the Clinical Module DMSAP v1.1. Univariate risk factors will be assessed by logistic regression for each day adjusting for the study effect. Multivariable analysis will then be carried out using logistic regression.

Gametocyte carriage will be assessed as the proportion of patients with *P. falciparum* gametocytes on day 3, 7, 14 or 21, (GPR - Gametocyte Positivity Rates). Summary outcome measures will be stratified by whether or not gametocytes were present on admission. If enough data is available, logistic regression will be used to assess the risk factors for gametocytes carriage. Similar model selection strategy will be employed as in Section 3.3 later for building multivariate model.

Haemoglobin measures (continuous) will be analysed using linear model with study effects fitted as random. It would be adjusted for time and other baseline covariates.

ROC analysis (or logrank statistics) will be used to define a breakpoint for mg/kg with greatest discrimination. This will be carried out by categorising each patient as Failure (1/0) on day 28 (or day 42). Patients who were lost to follow up will be excluded. The mg/kg cut offs will be defined separately for PCR adjusted *P. falciparum*, unadjusted *P. falciparum*, *P. falciparum* reinfection, and *P. vivax* recurrence.

**Risk factors for recurrent parasitaemia**

Univariate analysis of confounding factors (adjusted for the study effects) associated with the primary and secondary endpoint of interests (Section 2.3) will be conducted. The available confounders of interest are age, sex, baseline parasitaemia, baseline anaemia, mixed infections, vomiting of drug within 1 hour, and transmission zones (Low, Moderate, and High) site. The latter
will include transmission intensity as a proxy of global immunity and age as a marker of host immunity.

Cox regression analysis of mg/kg dose partner drug (as defined in 2.3.4) as a risk factor for the four recurrence outcomes, after controlling for known confounding factors identified in 2.4.1 will be carried out.

Median (IQR) mg/kg dose of artemisinin derivatives or partner drugs in patients failing treatment (i.e. recurrence) vs successfully treated (defined as reaching the end of the study duration without failure) will be calculated.

Population Attributable Risk (PAR) of low mg/kg dose on risk of recurrence i.e. what proportion of recurrences were attributable to poor dosing will be investigated.

Time to recrudescence (median) will be calculated for different age-groups for the patients who failed. The proportion of patients who failed before day28 or between day28 and day42 will be presented.

The effect of the level of supervision on the risk of recurrence will be assessed. The efficacy outcomes for the partially, fully and not supervised groups will be computed.

Analysis of risks factors for being underdosed and public health importance

Investigation will be carried out to assess the risk factors for a patient receiving a low mg/kg dose (as defined in 2.3.4). The effect of age, sex, protocol type, target dose, adherence violations, location and any other available risk factors will be assessed.

Global implications

The WHO weight-for-age reference population database (if available) will be used to calculate the weight for the patients with missing body weights. The mg/kg of drug dosing will be plotted on the Y-axis and age will be plotted on the X-axis. Proportion of underdosed patients \( \left( \frac{P_u}{n} \right) \) i.e. the patients who received less than the recommended therapeutic ranges for anti-malarial will be estimated.

The estimated proportion of underdosed patients can then used to estimate the number of patients who failed treatment due to the under dosing globally.

3. Statistical Methodology

Descriptive statistics

Normality of the distribution of the drug dosages (mg/kg total) will be checked using a Q-Q plot. The p-value from Shapiro-Wilk’s normality test will be reported.

The mean, 10th, 25th, 75th, 90th percentile and the median mg/kg dose will be calculated for each dosing group (under/below as defined in Section 2.3.4). The distribution of the drug dosages will be presented graphically (histogram, box plots).
Patients will be categorised into four age groups ≤1 year, >1-≤5 years, >5-≤12 years and >12 years. The summary statistics will be further broken down by gender and age category.

**Survival analysis**

PCR Adjusted and Unadjusted outcomes (ref: DMSAP v1.1 for definitions) obtained using WWARN standardised outputs will be used to compute the Kaplan-Meier (K-M) estimates for the two dosing groups. The K-M estimates will be presented graphically together with the associated tables. Log rank test stratified by study will be performed at 5% level of significance to test if the K-M profiles are significantly different from each other.

Frailty analysis will be carried out (specifying study effect as random) to adjust for the differences between the study sites (Glidden and Vittinghoff, 2004).

**Model selection for determinants**

Model building will be carried out first by investigating if any of the available variables (Annex A.1) are related to the treatment outcome using Cox’s regression model. Any known confounding factors will be forced into the model even if they are statistically non-significant.

All the available variables will be used for the multivariate analysis. Model with known confounders will be fitted first (baseline model). Variables and covariates will then be added to the baseline model and the Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) i.e. changes in log likelihood ($-2\log\hat{L}$) will be compared (for nested models) to identify the variables which results in a significant reduction in $-2\log\hat{L}$ at 10% level of significance. Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC) will be used to compare competing non-nested models; models with smaller AIC will be preferred.

In the multivariable analysis, the dosing group will be added (as last) to the model containing the significant covariates. A LRT will be used to test if there is a significant effect of dosing group. The final model will then be used to estimate the hazards ratio (HR) for under-dosed group relative to the normally dosed group of patients. Cox-Snell residuals and Martingale residuals will be examined to determine the appropriateness of model fit.
**Proportional hazards (PH)**

Schoenfeld residuals against transformed time for the dosing group will be used to determine if the assumptions of PH across different dosing groups are reasonable. Any systematic departures from horizontal lines are indicative of non-proportional hazards (Schoenfeld, 1982).

If the K-M profiles for different groups crosses over then the assumption of PH won’t be tested. Any further covariates will be categorised in order to test the assumptions of PH.

**Finding the optimal cut points**

The suitability of Receiving Operating Characteristics Curves (ROCs) for finding the optimal cutpoints for mkg/kg drug dosage which best differentiates the PCR adjusted failure will be explored. The optimal cutoff point will be defined as the maximum value of Youden’s Index ($J$), which is sensitivity + specificity - 1 (Price et al., 2007).

The use of Logrank statistics to define the breakpoint will be explored. The value of the drug dosage which maximizes the logrank statistics will be identified as the optimal threshold (Contal and O’Quigley, 1999).

Alternative methods for determining the optimal cutoff will also be explored (e.g. data driven methods such as percentiles). Outcome oriented approaches will also be explored.

**4. Tools**

All statistical analyses will be carried out using R 2.14.0 released on 2011-10-31 by The R Foundation for Statistical Computing. However, when equivalent statistical methods are applied, changing the use of statistical software does not require amendment of this SAP.
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6. **Annex**

A.1 **List of available covariates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WWARN Status for Pf Adj</td>
<td>Primary Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWARN Status for Pf UnAdj</td>
<td>Primary Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETF</td>
<td>Secondary Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF</td>
<td>Secondary Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPF</td>
<td>Secondary Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTF</td>
<td>Secondary Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early LTF (before D14, no PCR)</td>
<td>Secondary Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Fever (0/1) at inclusion</td>
<td>Baseline Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Malaria at inclusion</td>
<td>Baseline Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin at inclusion</td>
<td>Baseline Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falciparum density at Inclusion</td>
<td>Baseline Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamf (/μL) at inclusion</td>
<td>Baseline Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Temp Day0</td>
<td>Baseline Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0 Ht&lt;20%</td>
<td>Baseline Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in Years</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimalarial in last 28 days</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasite density at Inclusion</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Falciparum Asexual parasitaemia on Day1</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Falciparum Asexual parasitaemia on Day2</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Falciparum Asexual parasitaemia on Day3</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Temp Day1</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Temp Day2</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Temp Day3</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosing method (single day, broken down over days etc.)</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mg/kg dose at each day of dosing regimen</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mg/kg dose during course</td>
<td>Available Variable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>